

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,761	02/10/2006	Kenichi Mori	1324114	5641	
23838 KENYON & F	7590 10/10/200 KENYON I LP	8	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREET N.W.			THOMPSON RUMMEL, PONDER N		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/567,761	MORI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
PONDER N. THOMPSON RUMMEL	1795		

	PONDER N. THOMPSON RUMMEL	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>\( \)\[ \]\[ \]\ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date     The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>			cause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		L below),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	he issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>	<ol><li>See attached Notice of Non-Cor</li></ol>	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>	:					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	•			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.						
Claim(s) rejected. 1-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	il and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	t door NOT along the conficution in					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. ☐ Other.						
13. [ Otilei						
/Cynthia H Kelly/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejections of claims 1, 2, 4 and 13 over Onomich in view of the are maintained. Onomich in view of the discloses the infrared layer comprising an infrared dey such as a dimmonium compound having an wavelength between 800 mm to 1100 mm and the uses of a surfactant. The applicant argues that the surfactant is into applied to the IR layer for the IR layer comprises organic solvents and not water. However, water is used in addition to the organic solvent within that IR layer (paragraph (00162)). Further, Onomich is states that surfactants are used to improve uniform coating and handling properties such as winding properties of the substrate film (paragraph (0164)). Onomichi in view of 16 disclose the uses or a polysiloxane surfactant having HLB between 3 and 18. Applicant argues that now evoded that we expected the polysiloxane to work in an organic solution. As mentioned above, Onomichi disclosese that the organic solution is also added with water (paragraph (0163)) and such addition of water and organic solvent improves coatability. With the addition of the polysiloxane as disclosed by 16, homogeneity and uniform thickness can formed and foaming can also be prevented. The addition of a polysiloxane surfactant woud be obvious because a solvent such as water or mixture of water and organic solvent with a ten infared layer as well (column 56, lines 30-39). The solvent mixture (water alone or uses of sorganic solvent with water) is also considered to be an "aqueous solution". Additionally, the primary reference discloses the use of surfactants to improve film properties such as uniform coating and prevent foaming.

The rejections under 35 USC 103(a) for claims 3, 5-12 and 14-24 are maintained.